

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/668,071	09/22/2000		Kouji Fujiwara	49940(868)	1421
21874	7590	01/14/2005		EXAMINER	
EDWARD	S & ANG	ELL, LLP	NGUYEN, HAU H		
P.O. BOX 5	5874	·			
BOSTON, MA 02205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2676	

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/668,071	FUJIWARA ET AL.
7. , 7	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hau H Nguyen	2676
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the company of the compan	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period for shortened statutory period	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: 2-5 and 7.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6 and 8-13</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>09/22/2000</u> is a)⊠	approved or b) disapproved	d by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	WI	aucher (Bella
		MATTHEW C RELLA

MATTHEW C. BELLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

• Continuation of 2. NOTE: The terms "to shut off images displayed in intervals between continuous frames, where by substantial impulse-type drive is carried out" requires further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons given in the previous Office Action. Specifically, Taniguchi et al. teach an image display method inclduing a shield member, which has a light transmitting portion and light intercepting portion, and is capable of shutting off an image for a constant period.